

01
02
03
04
05
06 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
07 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

08 LARRY J. NICHOLSON, II,) CASE NO. C08-0798-MJP-MAT
09 Plaintiff,)
10 v.) ORDER CONSTRUING PLAINTIFF'S
11 V. FERNANDEZ,) LETTER AS PARTIAL RESPONSE
12 Defendant.) TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

13
14 Defendant has filed a motion for summary judgment, which is noted for consideration by
15 the Court on December 26, 2008. On December 8, 2008, the Court received a letter from plaintiff
16 in which he states that he "would like to request an appeal to the 9th circuit." (Dkt. No. 25).
17 However, the letter does not refer to any particular Order or ruling that plaintiff is appealing.
18 Instead, the letter appears to challenge the veracity of a declaration submitted by defendant as part
19 of his motion for summary judgment. Accordingly, the Court does hereby find and ORDER as
20 follows:

21 (1) Plaintiff's letter is construed as a partial response to defendant's motion for
22 summary judgment. Plaintiff is reminded that pursuant to *Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952, 962-

ORDER CONSTRUING PLAINTIFF'S LETTER AS
PARTIAL RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
PAGE -1

01 963 (9th Cir. 1998), he must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to
02 interrogatories, or authenticated documents, that contradict the facts shown in the defendant's
03 declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. He
04 may supplement this response by filing such materials no later than **December 22, 2008**. (Dkt.
05 No. 24 at 2).

06 (2) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to plaintiff and to counsel for
07 defendant.

08 DATED this 9th day of December, 2008.

09 
10 Mary Alice Theiler
11 United States Magistrate Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22